



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/622,082	09/22/2000	Juha S. Kinnunen	990.1234	7345
7:	590 04/24/2002			
Steinberg & Raskin 1140 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
New York, NY			LOPEZ, CA	RLOS N
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	9
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1		_	MEC			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	09/622,082	KINNUNEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carlos Lopez	1731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repure period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	<u>December 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language process. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/622,082

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 12/19/01 has been entered as Paper No. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Turner et al (EP 0465698) in view of Egelhof et al (US 6,159,341) and in further view of Huovila et al (US 6,270,624). Turner discloses a method of making a multiply paper comprising of two web former units wherein the face of a second ply has a high amount of pulp stock fines in order to effect a greater ply-bonding affinity with a first base ply. While Turner is silent disclosing that the second ply is made from multilayer headbox. Examiner takes Official Notice that providing a second ply via a multiplayer headbox is known knowledge as evidenced by Egelhof. Egolhof teaches that a multiplayer headbox (26) may be used to provide a second ply. Egelhof is silent disclosing the stock feed system of the headbox. However, Houvila discloses stock feed system for a headbox having a flow of fresh stock being divided into three component flows (5a-5c) wherein an admixture is supplied to the component flow that would make up the outer layer/face before pump (19a1). Houvila's headbox provides for better control of the

Art Unit: 1731

desired chemicals and fillers to be added to a web and obviates additional storage facilities due to its single fresh stock feed system. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Houvila's multilayer headbox with Turners method of making multiply paper in order to provide a better feed control of admixtures and reduce storage facilities.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turner et al (EP 0465698) in view of Egelhof et al (US 6,159,341) view of Huovila et al (US 6,270,624) and in further view of Grossmann et al US (5,607,555). Turner is silent disclosing how the first ply is made. However, Examiner takes Official Notice that providing the first ply of Turner by a headbox and gap former are well known in the art as evidenced by Grossmann et al Figure 1. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided Turner's first ply via a headbox and a gap former since Examiner takes Official Notice that providing a first ply by a headbox and gap former would have been mad by a well known method as evidenced by Grossmann et al.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 605-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Silverman Stanley can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

C.L April 22, 2002

JOSE FORTUMA
PATENT EXAMINER